Natural Resources Conservation Service Application Ranking Summary Southwest Area AFO

Program: EQIP 2002	Ranking Date:
Ranking Tool: SW Area AFO	
Final Ranking Score:	
Planner:	
Farm Location:	

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable	60 Point(s)
reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides,	
excess salinity in impaired watersheds, groundwater contamination or point source	
contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable	60 Point(s)
amount of ground or surface water conservation?	
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable	60 Point(s)
reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile	
organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality	
impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable	20 Point(s)
reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural	
land?	
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable	50 Point(s)
increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. AFO #1 - An approved CNMP is already in place?	25 Point(s)
2. AFO #2 - The contract will include practices that will significantly reduce the	50 Point(s)
threat of ground water pollution?	
3. AFO #3 - The contract will include practices that will significantly reduce the	50 Point(s)
threat of surface water pollution?	
4. AFO #4 - The contract will include practices that will reduce nitrate levels to 10	50 Point(s)
ppm or less?	
5. AFO #5 - The collection and transport system is inadequate, but will be	25 Point(s)
significantly improved?	
6. AFO #6 - The storage and treatment facilities are inadequate, but will be	25 Point(s)
significantly improved?	
7. AFO #7 - Manure utilization is inadequate, but will be significantly improved?	25 Point(s)

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. AFO1Has this applicant had a previous contract terminated due to non-	-50 Point(s)
compliance? (If yes the application will be considered LOW priority)	
2. AFO2Is there a current CNMP in place, one that addresses the proposed	50 Point(s)
treatments of this EQIP application? (If answer is YES, then application is HIGH	
priority.)	
3. AFO3Does the applicant have a CNMP planner, private consultant, or the means	50 Point(s)
to provide NRCS with a current CNMP before contract approval?	
4. AFO4Has the applicant provided NRCS with the required/necessary documents	50 Point(s)
(NMED/EPA permits, soil and water monitoring test results – CNMP – those	
necessary to initiate a plan), UPON ranking of this application?	

Natural Resources Conservation Service Application Ranking Summary Southwest Area AFO

Issue Questions	Responses
5. AFO5Is this application a result of Dairy expansion (increased herd size, increase	0 Point(s)
in area of the confinement lot and/or increased water use)? (If answer is YES, then	
application is LOW priority.)	
6. AFO6Will the treatment include the installation of monitoring well (s)?	50 Point(s)
7. AFO7Will the treatment include the installation of water metering device (s)?	25 Point(s)
8. AFO8Does the operation apply pond effluent to cropland?	25 Point(s)
9. AFO9Will the treatment include a decommissioning of an existing un-lined	50 Point(s)
effluent lagoon, and installation of a new lined lagoon?	
10. AFO10Will the treatment address on-site hydrologic functions (those affecting	25 Point(s)
the surface run-off patterns of the Dairy Lot)?	
11. AFO11Will the treatment address off-site hydrologic functions (those affecting	25 Point(s)
the surface run-on patterns of the Dairy Lot)?	
12. AFO12Will the treatment include the installation of lined surface run-off	50 Point(s)
pond(s)?	